

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND )  
IN THE MATTER OF: )  
COMPLAINT C2012-059 )  
STATE ETHICS COMMISSION, )  
Complainant; )  
vs. )  
SAUL A. CHISOLM, )  
Respondent. )

BEFORE THE STATE ETHICS COMMISSION

**DECISION AND ORDER**

STATE ETHICS  
COMMISSION

2012 MAY 16 AM 10:09

RECEIVED

Pursuant to Section 8-13-320(10)(i), Code of Laws for South Carolina, 1976, as amended, the State Ethics Commission reviewed the above captioned complaint on March 21, 2012 charging the Respondent, Saul A. Chisolm, with a violation of Section 8-13-1140, Code of Laws for South Carolina, 1976, as amended.

Present at the meeting were Commission Members Phillip Florence, Jr, Chairman, E. Kay Biermann-Brohl, Vice-Chair, Richard H. Fitzgerald, Edward E. Duryea, JB Holeman, Jonathan H. Burnett, Priscilla L. Tanner, and G. Carlton Manley. Also present were the Commission's Executive Director, Herbert R. Hayden, Jr., and his immediate staff.

The following allegations were considered:

**ALLEGATIONS**

That the Respondent, a member of the Colleton County Registration and Election Board, failed to file Statement of Economic Interests reports on or before April 15, 2010 and April 15, 2011.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

Having carefully reviewed the evidence presented, the Commission finds as fact:

1. The Respondent served as a member of the Colleton County Registration and Election Board through December 2009.
2. The Respondent resigned from the Board prior to April 15, 2010.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Commission concludes, as a matter of law:

1. The Respondent was a Public Member as defined by Section 8-13-100(26).
2. The State Ethics Commission has personal and subject matter jurisdiction.
3. In accordance Reg. 52.601 (D), "A person required to file a Statement who is no longer in office on April 15 of the year following the first filing, is not required to file an updated Statement."

### DECISION

THEREFORE, based upon evidence presented, the State Ethics Commission has determined that there is not probable cause to indicate that the Respondent, Saul A. Chisolm, violated Section 8-13-1140, S.C. Code Ann., 1976, as amended. The Commission has therefore dismissed the charges in accordance with Section 8-13-320(10)(i), Code of Laws for South Carolina, 1976, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 16<sup>th</sup> DAY OF May, 2012.

STATE ETHICS COMMISSION

  
Phillip Florence, Jr., Chair

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA